ChatGPT

Psychological Safety & Team Health

Executive Summary

Teams with high psychological safety feel comfortable sharing ideas and concerns, which is crucial for innovation and resilience. Psychological safety is the shared belief that a team is safe for interpersonal risk-taking – meaning team members feel comfortable speaking up with ideas, questions, or mistakes without fear of ridicule or retribution [1]. Research across sectors shows that psychological safety is a top driver of team effectiveness and innovation [2]. It creates a climate of trust and inclusion where diverse perspectives are valued, learning is continuous, and people are willing to admit errors or ask for help. In global NGOs – where collaboration, adaptability, and staff well-being are paramount – a psychologically safe culture enables teams to learn from mistakes, surface problems early, and sustain morale under pressure. Evidence also links psychological safety to higher job satisfaction and retention, particularly benefiting underrepresented groups by leveling the playing field [3]. However, misconceptions abound: psychological safety is **not** about being "nice" or avoiding accountability [4]. In fact, too much emphasis on keeping everyone comfortable *without* feedback or standards can backfire, reducing performance in routine tasks [5] [6]. The key is balance – fostering an open, supportive atmosphere *and* clear expectations.

This research guide provides NGO leaders with evidence-based insights and practical steps to cultivate psychological safety and overall team health. It includes a summary of key findings (with strength of evidence and implications for NGOs), a step-by-step framework for building a safe and healthy team climate, tools and templates to implement, real-world case vignettes, metrics to monitor progress, common risks with mitigations, a checklist for leaders, and a glossary of key terms. By proactively creating a **psychologically safe** environment, NGO teams can improve communication, innovation, and well-being – enhancing their capacity to achieve mission goals even in challenging contexts. Leaders play a pivotal role: by modeling humility and empathy, inviting input, and responding to issues constructively, they set the tone for a culture where people feel "safe to speak up" and truly work together [7] [8]. Ultimately, psychological safety is both a means to healthier, more resilient teams and an end in itself – reflecting the values of trust, respect, and inclusion that are essential in the nonprofit sector.

Evidence Table

Key Finding	Strength of Evidence	NGO Implications
Psychological safety is a top driver of team success and innovation.	**Strong:** Multiple studies (e.g. Google's Project Aristotle and a 28,000-employee survey) found psychological safety to be the #1 factor in high-performing teams [2].	Prioritize building a "safe to speak up" culture to boost team performance and creative problem-solving. Even resource-constrained NGO teams can outperform if members freely share ideas and concerns.

Key Finding	Strength of Evidence	NGO Implications
Psychological safety improves inclusion and retention of diverse staff.	**Strong:** New research shows psychological safety especially benefits women, minorities, and other marginalized groups, reducing attrition and leveling satisfaction with the workplace [2] [9].	Fostering an inclusive, safe climate helps NGOs retain talent and leverage diverse perspectives. Staff who feel heard and valued are more likely to stay and fully contribute to the mission.
Teams with high psychological safety report higher job satisfaction and morale.	**Strong:** Teams that feel safe to voice opinions also report greater engagement and job satisfaction [10]. Studies indicate psychologically safe workplaces support mental health and well-being [11] [12].	Expect a healthier team climate – lower burnout, higher commitment – when people trust they won't be punished for honest input. Investing in psychological safety can improve staff well-being in high-stress NGO environments.
Psychologically safe teams learn from mistakes and catch errors early.	**Moderate:** Research in hospitals found better teams *reported* more errors – not because they made more mistakes, but because they felt safe to speak up [13] [14]. This openness drives learning and improvement.	Encourage a "no-blame" culture of reporting issues. NGO staff and volunteers need to feel safe admitting problems or failures, which allows the team to fix mistakes, adapt, and avoid bigger failures in projects or safety.
Leadership behavior heavily influences team psychological safety.	**Strong:** Leadership is the most important driver of a positive team climate [7]. Leaders who are *consultative* (ask for input), *supportive*, and *fair* create higher psychological safety [8] [15].	NGO leaders and managers must model the desired behavior – actively listen, show empathy, and avoid ridicule. A leader's openness and humility signal to everyone that it's safe to speak up, directly improving team health.
Overemphasis on comfort (without accountability) can hurt performance.	**Emerging:** Recent studies show diminishing returns – extremely high psychological safety (total comfort) may lead to laxity and lower performance in routine tasks [5] [6]. Balance is critical.	Don't equate psychological safety with never challenging people. NGOs should maintain performance standards and accountability. Aim for a "good enough" level of safety [16] where people aren't afraid to speak up, *and* still take responsibility for results.
Psychological safety supports team resilience during change.	**Moderate:** Evidence from the pandemic and beyond suggests teams with open, trusting environments adapt better to uncertainty and stress [17] [18].	In crises or rapid change (common in the NGO context), a psychologically safe team can more quickly identify issues, support each other, and innovate. Building this foundation increases resilience in the face of funding shifts, emergencies, or organizational change.

Step-by-Step Framework

Building psychological safety is an ongoing process. The following step-by-step framework can help NGO leaders cultivate a safe and healthy team climate:

1. **Set the Stage with Purpose and Norms:** Begin by framing **why** openness matters to your mission. Emphasize the organization's goals and the importance of everyone's input to achieving them [19]. Co-create **ground rules** or a team charter that establishes respect, active listening, and no retaliation for speaking up. Involve team members in defining these "rules of engagement," so that everyone has ownership [20]. For example, the team might agree that "no question is silly" and "we speak up if something isn't right." This shared understanding creates psychological safety from the outset.

2. **Foster Open Communication:** Create multiple channels for honest communication. Encourage questions and candor in meetings, and consider tools like anonymous suggestion boxes or online polls for sensitive feedback. **Transparency** is key – share information openly and avoid hidden agendas [21]. Make sure all voices are heard: actively invite quieter team members or those in junior roles to contribute, and **hold space** (e.g. pauses in discussion) so no one is talked over. By explicitly asking, "What do others think?" and showing appreciation for each response, leaders signal that speaking up is valued. If power dynamics exist (common in hierarchies), acknowledge them and offer workarounds (such as having a rotating meeting facilitator or using written input) to ensure open dialogue [22].

3. **Lead with Vulnerability:** Set an example by admitting your own fallibility. Leaders and senior staff should openly acknowledge mistakes, knowledge gaps, or challenges. Sharing a personal anecdote of a time you failed or "didn't have the answer" can humanize leadership and normalize learning [23]. For instance, a manager might say, "I was wrong about how we approached that community project – here's what I learned." Demonstrating **humility** and **empathy** creates a safe space for others to take risks. When team members see their leader ask for help or apologize for an error, they understand that *perfection is not a prerequisite* for contributing. This vulnerability-based trust is crucial in NGO teams, where collaboration and mutual support drive success.

4. **Encourage Curiosity and Active Listening:** Cultivate a culture of continuous learning by encouraging questions, debate, and exploration. Dedicate time for **curiosity** – for example, in strategy meetings, explicitly invite team members to question assumptions: "Does anyone see a different approach?" Reward those who *challenge the status quo* constructively. Practice **active listening** during these discussions: maintain eye contact, avoid interrupting, and reflect back what you heard ("So you're saying…") to validate contributors [24] [25]. Showing that you genuinely consider others' ideas – even if they differ from your own – builds trust. Also, acknowledge and thank team members who raise tough questions or point out potential problems. This assures everyone that critical feedback and creative ideas are not just tolerated but welcomed.

5. **Collaborate on Decision-Making:** Wherever feasible, involve the team in decision processes. This doesn't mean every decision is democratic, but **inclusive decision-making** builds buy-in and safety. For example, after gathering input on a new program design, summarize what was heard and transparently explain the final decision, including how team feedback influenced it [26]. When team members see their contributions matter, they feel a greater sense of **ownership**. In high-stakes

decisions (like reallocating budget or changing strategy), consider holding a team consultation or workshop. Use facilitation techniques to ensure all perspectives are surfaced – this could include break-out discussions or anonymous ranking of ideas. Even if leaders have to make the ultimate call, giving people a voice in the process reinforces that speaking up makes a difference. It strengthens psychological safety and aligns the team with the path forward.

6. **Normalize Mistakes as Learning Opportunities:** Transform your team's mindset about failure. Make it explicit that **mistakes will happen** – what's important is how you respond. When errors occur, avoid jumping to blame. Instead, treat it as a shared chance to improve: conduct a blameless **after-action review** or debrief to examine what happened and how to prevent it next time [27] [28]. Encourage team members to openly discuss what went wrong without fear. As a leader, respond to bad news or admissions of error calmly and appreciatively – "Thank you for flagging this – let's figure it out together." This approach reinforces that *reporting a problem is far better than hiding it*. Over time, a "no-blame" culture will take root, where team members proactively catch issues and support each other in solving them. In the NGO context, where stakes can be high (e.g. client safety, donor trust), this learning culture is vital. It allows teams to adapt quickly and continuously improve services while maintaining trust and accountability.

By following these steps, NGO leaders can incrementally build a high level of psychological safety and robust team health. Remember that this is not a one-time checklist but an ongoing commitment – it requires consistent reinforcement. Regularly solicit feedback on the team climate and adjust your strategies as needed. Over time, even teams that started in a low-trust environment can become **"fearless" organizations** where people feel safe to contribute their best ideas in service of the mission.

Tools / Templates

NGO leaders can leverage various tools and templates to implement and sustain psychological safety and team health practices:

- **Team Psychological Safety Survey (7-item)** – A simple confidential survey to **measure the team's psychological safety level**. Amy Edmondson's validated 7-question scale asks things like "Members of this team are able to bring up problems and tough issues" and "It is safe to take a risk on this team" [29] [30]. Team members rate their agreement (e.g. 1–5 scale). Use this survey periodically (e.g. quarterly) to gauge progress. A high average score (and improvement over time) indicates growing safety, whereas low scores highlight areas to address. This tool helps **quantify an abstract concept** and opens dialogue – discuss the anonymous results with the team and brainstorm ways to improve weak areas (for instance, if "It is easy to ask for help" scores low, you might implement a buddy system or training on help-seeking).

- **Team Health Check/Monitor** – A structured "check-up" template that teams can use to self-assess aspects of team health, including psychological safety. For example, Atlassian's Team Playbook and tools like TeamRetro provide a **Psychological Safety Health Check** with key dimensions derived from research (e.g. *Learning and Growth*, *Feeling Heard*, *Risk Taking*, *Team Support*) [31] [32]. Team members individually rate statements under each dimension (such as "My mistakes are not held against me" for *Learning and Growth* [33]). The aggregated results (often presented via colored indicators or averages) spur a team discussion on **what's working and what needs improvement**. This template normalizes talking about team dynamics the same way you'd discuss project metrics.

Many NGOs have regular reflection meetings – adding a health check ensures psychological safety and other team wellness factors are reviewed consistently, not just when a crisis occurs.

- **Meeting Norms and Dialogue Guidelines** – A template for creating explicit team **norms** that promote respectful and inclusive communication. This can be a one-page agreement or poster that lists commitments like: "One person speaks at a time – no interruptions," "All ideas are treated with respect (no mockery or dismissive comments)," "It's ok to disagree, but critique ideas *not* people," and "Mistakes are treated as learning opportunities." Such guidelines can draw on best practices from Google's psychological safety checklist (e.g., *don't interrupt teammates; ensure everyone speaks; listen and summarize what others say* [34]). Have the team develop or customize these norms together. Post them visibly (in the office or virtual workspace) and refer to them at the start of meetings or projects. These **ground rules** serve as day-to-day tools to reinforce the desired culture, making it clear how team members should interact to maintain psychological safety.

- **Anonymous Feedback and Reporting Tools** – Providing a channel for people to speak up without fear can be a powerful tool, especially in cultures where hierarchy is strong. Tools like **online suggestion boxes**, survey platforms (e.g. Google Forms or Polly) or dedicated NGO staff feedback apps allow team members to raise concerns, ideas, or whistleblower issues safely. For example, an NGO might set up a monthly anonymous pulse survey with questions like "Do you feel comfortable voicing concerns to your manager?" and a free-text box for suggestions. Leadership can then address common themes or specific issues (publicly, if appropriate) to show that even anonymous voices are heard. Over time, as trust increases, you may find people rely less on anonymous channels and speak openly – but keeping them available is a good practice to catch what might otherwise go unsaid.

- **After-Action Review Template** – Adapt a simple template for teams to collectively learn from projects or incidents. The template might pose: *What did we set out to do? What actually happened? What went well and why? What went wrong and why? What will we change or do next time?* By making after-action reviews routine (e.g. at the end of a project or after a critical event), you create a **structured safe space** to discuss mistakes and successes. The template ensures the conversation stays **blame-free** and focused on process and systems, not personal failings. It can be as informal as a flipchart or a shared document with those questions. For instance, after a fundraising campaign that missed its target, the team can use the template to analyze factors (perhaps marketing started late or team communications were siloed) and agree on fixes, rather than feeling embarrassed or defensive. This tool embeds a culture of continuous improvement and reinforces that it's *expected* to candidly examine and learn from every experience.

- **Psychological Safety Training & Worksheets** – Consider using workshop materials or quick exercises to build skills related to psychological safety. This might include a **role-playing exercise** where team members practice giving and receiving constructive feedback, or a worksheet on identifying and reframing unhelpful responses (e.g. turning a defensive reaction into a curious question). Some organizations use the **"Four Stages of Psychological Safety"** model (Inclusion, Learner, Contributor, Challenger) as a framework; there are reflection worksheets for each stage (e.g. prompts like "Do all members feel included? If not, what can we do?"). External training resources from leadership institutes or consultancies often provide scenarios and tips. Using these tools in staff development sessions can raise awareness of behaviors that foster or hinder team safety. It equips team members at all levels with practical communication techniques – such as how to

acknowledge a colleague's idea or how to express dissent respectfully. These skills-building templates help translate the *concept* of psychological safety into everyday practice.

- **Leadership Self-Check Checklist** – A simple checklist for leaders to self-assess their behaviors in relation to psychological safety. This can include questions like: "Did I invite input from everyone on the team this week?", "How did I respond to bad news or criticism – with openness or defensiveness?", "Have I acknowledged my own mistake publicly in the recent past?", "Did I follow through on a team concern raised earlier?". Leaders can use this as a private weekly or monthly reflection tool. Some NGOs integrate such checklists into management coaching or performance reviews, signaling that creating a safe team climate is a core leadership responsibility (on par with hitting program targets). By regularly reviewing these questions, leaders will be reminded to continuously improve how they facilitate trust and openness. It's essentially a **personal template** to keep leaders accountable for maintaining psychological safety.

These tools and templates can be adapted to the size and context of the NGO. Not every organization will use all of them, but even a few – like a periodic safety survey and explicit team norms – can make a substantial difference. The goal is to **embed psychological safety into daily workflows**. By measuring it, talking about it, and reinforcing it with concrete tools, NGO teams can make psychological safety a tangible operational reality rather than just a buzzword.

Case Vignettes

Case Vignette 1: The New Team Culture Turnaround
Background: A mid-sized international development NGO had a team in its East Africa regional office that was struggling. There was high turnover – three project officers had quit in one year – and those remaining were disengaged. In meetings, staff rarely spoke up, nodding along in agreement with the director's decisions but sharing little. Mistakes or delays in projects were often swept under the rug until they became crises. Donor reports started reflecting these issues, and leadership noticed. A new Regional Director, Amara, was brought in with a mandate to improve "team health" and project outcomes.

Intervention: On her first day, Amara held a candid team meeting acknowledging the problems. She openly stated, "I know people are afraid to speak up here – and that's on us as leadership to fix. We can't serve our communities well if we aren't honest with each other." This tone set the stage for change. She worked with the team to establish **ground rules**: one of the rules was *"No blame – we focus on solutions, not guilt."* Another was *"Every voice matters – we rotate who leads discussions and everyone will have a chance to share."* Amara also shared her own story of a failure from a previous job, describing how she missed a deadline that jeopardized a project. She described what she learned and even injected some humor about it, which surprised the team. Over the next few months, Amara instituted brief weekly "pulse checks" – at the end of each week's staff meeting, everyone answered two questions: *What went well this week? What was a challenge?* At first, the answers were cautious. But Amara consistently thanked staff for raising challenges and visibly took action (e.g. when a field officer admitted they were behind on training deliveries, they brainstormed support rather than scolding).

Outcome: Gradually, the team's behavior shifted. In a planning meeting for a new agriculture project, a junior staff member piped up to point out a flaw in the plan's assumptions – something that previously no one would have dared mention to the boss. Instead of dismissing the comment, Amara thanked them and the team adjusted the plan, avoiding what could have been a costly mistake. Team members started

volunteering new ideas; for example, one suggested a community feedback forum to improve their programs, which the team adopted. Within a year, staff turnover dropped to zero and an employee engagement survey showed significantly higher scores for statements like "I feel safe to voice my opinions." The improved morale and collaboration paid off in performance: the region exceeded its project goals that year. This vignette illustrates how **leadership commitment to psychological safety transformed a team**. By modeling vulnerability, setting clear norms, and responding to issues constructively, a fearful team became an engaged, high-performing one. In the words of one team member, "I finally feel like I'm *heard* at work, and it makes me give my all to what we do."

Case Vignette 2: Silence Nearly Sank the Project

Background: A humanitarian relief NGO was executing a major emergency response project after severe flooding in a South Asian country. The team was under enormous pressure to deliver aid quickly. The project manager, Raj, was an experienced coordinator known for his strict standards and no-nonsense approach. The team, composed of both international and local staff, was highly skilled but culturally diverse and relatively new to working together. From the outset, Raj expected directives to be followed exactly. In coordination calls, he would speak fast and if someone hesitated or voiced a concern, he tended to cut them off with "We don't have time for debate." Team members grew wary of bringing up problems, fearing they'd be seen as not coping. Unbeknownst to Raj, **psychological safety was low** – field staff would notice issues (like certain villages being repeatedly missed in distributions due to a routing error) but kept quiet or tried to fix them alone.

Critical Incident: Midway through the project, a significant budgeting error was discovered. A finance officer realized that due to a spreadsheet mistake, the team had been under-reporting expenditures; if not corrected, they would *overrun the budget* within weeks and potentially have to halt operations. The officer, however, was afraid to speak up after seeing how Raj reacted to bad news (he had once harshly scolded a logistician whose supply delivery was delayed by roadblocks, something beyond their control). She tried to solve it quietly, working late to adjust future figures, but the scale was too big to fix without higher-level decisions. Meanwhile, field conditions were changing – local staff knew that some communities were not receiving aid as planned, but they felt raising that issue would sound like personal failure, so it stayed unaddressed in reports.

Turning Point: The situation unraveled when headquarters noticed the financial discrepancy during a routine check, and donors started asking why certain villages were left out in relief stats coming in. Confronted by leadership, Raj was taken by surprise. The trust in the team was shaken. Headquarters dispatched an advisor, Priya, to get the project back on track. Priya quickly sensed the core issue: *team members had been afraid to speak up*. In a frank meeting (with Raj present but instructed to listen), Priya invited the staff to share "anything that's holding us back" and assured them no one would be punished for honesty. It was as if a dam broke – the finance officer mustered courage and explained the budgeting error fully. Field coordinators voiced concerns about the distribution plan flaws. Raj, to his credit, sat silently looking stunned, as this was the first he was hearing of these issues.

Resolution: Over the next days, Priya worked with Raj and the team to create a new communication protocol. They set up daily 15-minute huddles specifically to flag problems and *required* at least one issue to be raised each day (even a small one, to normalize it). Raj publicly thanked the finance officer for bringing the budget issue forward and involved her in the solution (renegotiating some supplier contracts and getting an emergency fund release). He realized he needed to adjust his style: he apologized to the team for creating an atmosphere of fear. This was a humbling moment for him, but it laid the groundwork for healing. The

team, seeing this, gradually grew more open. In one huddle, a local staff member voiced, with some nervousness, that the relief packs were not culturally appropriate for a certain community (something they hadn't dared mention earlier). Instead of reprimand, Raj acknowledged the point and they swiftly tweaked the contents with local input – averting a potential community relations issue. The project went on to deliver aid to all targeted villages and even earned praise in a donor evaluation for how it adapted to feedback from the ground.

Lessons Learned: This vignette highlights the **cost of low psychological safety** – critical information was withheld, nearly derailing the mission. It took an intervention and a conscious effort to reset the culture. Once the team established a safer environment for communication, issues became visible and solvable. Raj's transformation shows that even tough, results-driven leaders can change when they recognize that encouraging openness will *improve*, not hinder, performance. For NGOs, the story is a cautionary tale: in high-stakes work, silence and fear can be dangerous. Conversely, creating a climate where staff **feel safe to speak up** – about budget errors, operational challenges, cultural missteps – can literally save the project. Psychological safety is not a "nice-to-have," but a fundamental ingredient of effective team operations, especially in crisis scenarios.

Metrics / KPIs

To ensure psychological safety and team health are improving, NGOs can track specific metrics. Below is a table of key metrics/KPIs, what they indicate, and how to measure them in practice:

Metric / KPI	What It Indicates	How to Measure (Examples)
Psychological Safety Score	Team's perceived safety for interpersonal risk-taking. Higher scores mean team members feel free to speak up.	Use a survey tool (e.g. Edmondson's 7-item Psychological Safety Index) [29]. Calculate average score or % of favorable responses. Track over time – an increasing trend signals progress in team climate.
Employee Engagement Index	Overall team morale, commitment, and trust in the organization. Psychological safety tends to raise engagement and job satisfaction [10].	Periodic staff engagement surveys (or sections of them) that include items like "I feel comfortable sharing problems at work" or general engagement questions. Look at engagement scores in tandem with psychological safety scores – improvements in safety often correlate with higher engagement.
Staff Turnover Rate (Retention)	The rate at which employees leave the team/ organization. Lower turnover may reflect a healthier, more supportive work environment.	Calculate annual or quarterly turnover (% of team leaving). If psychological safety efforts are successful, you might see turnover decrease, especially among groups who previously felt excluded. (E.g., after improving safety, an NGO noted reduced attrition among women and minority staff [2].)

Metric / KPI	What It Indicates	How to Measure (Examples)
Inclusion or Belonging Index	How included and valued diverse team members feel – a component of team health. High psychological safety should equalize experiences across demographics [9].	Use survey questions or focus groups to assess inclusion (e.g. "My unique background and perspectives are valued in this team"). Monitor responses by different demographics (gender, local/international staff, etc.). Narrow gaps or high scores across all groups indicate a more inclusive, psychologically safe climate.
Error/Incident Reporting Rate	Willingness to admit mistakes or report problems. A higher reporting rate can paradoxically indicate *more* psychological safety (people aren't hiding errors) [13] [14].	Track the number of errors, near-misses, or critical incidents reported by the team (e.g. in program delivery, finance, safeguarding). If you implement a no-blame policy, you might initially see reported incidents go *up* – that's a sign of honesty. Over the long term, actual incidents should decrease as issues are caught and addressed earlier.
Team Learning & Improvement Actions	Evidence of continuous improvement driven by the team, reflecting an open learning culture.	Count how many improvement suggestions or new ideas team members propose (e.g. suggestions implemented per quarter), or how many process changes are made due to team feedback. A psychologically safe team tends to contribute more ideas for positive change. For instance, an increase in suggestions from staff (and their implementation rate) shows people feel safe contributing beyond their basic duties.
Team Effectiveness Rating	Overall performance as evaluated by stakeholders (could be self-rated or 360-feedback). High team health (including safety) often translates to better outcomes.	Use 360-degree reviews or management assessments of team performance on key objectives, and see if those correlate with team climate measures. Alternatively, track key project KPIs (on-time delivery, quality scores) alongside team safety metrics. A notable improvement in project success metrics after safety interventions would validate that healthier team dynamics are paying off.

By monitoring these metrics, NGOs can get a quantitative and qualitative pulse on their teams' psychological safety and health. It's important to use a mix of measures: some directly capture **psychological safety** (like survey scores), while others capture outcomes that safety influences (like retention or error reduction). Regularly reviewing these KPIs in management meetings can help sustain focus on team culture, not just task outcomes. If a metric is not moving in the desired direction – for example, if the psychological safety survey remains low in a particular department – it flags the need for further intervention (such as targeted training or leadership coaching in that team). Over time, improving these metrics should align with anecdotes of a more open, resilient team culture, confirming that efforts to enhance psychological safety are leading to real-world benefits.

Risks & Mitigations

While fostering psychological safety has clear benefits, there are potential pitfalls. Below are common risks or challenges an NGO might face in this journey, along with strategies to mitigate them:

- **Risk: Misinterpreting "psychological safety" as zero accountability.** In some cases, teams might take the idea of a safe environment to mean that **performance standards are relaxed** or that no tough feedback will be given. This can lead to complacency or declining results.
  Mitigation: Emphasize that psychological safety is about respect and open communication, *not* about avoiding responsibility [5] [35]. Leaders should communicate that holding one another accountable is still essential – it can even be done **collectively**. For example, set up **collective accountability** norms where the team agrees on goals and checks each other's progress [36]. Make it clear that feedback on performance will be given – but in a constructive, private manner. By reinforcing that "good enough is good enough" for comfort, not excellence [16], NGOs can maintain high expectations while ensuring no one is *afraid* to fail.

- **Risk: Trying to mandate psychological safety by policy alone.** Simply declaring a policy ("We have a psychological safety rule now") or passing a directive (as in one case, a state law) without culture change can breed cynicism. People might feel pressured to **appear** positive rather than actually feeling safe.
  Mitigation: Focus on daily behaviors and team culture rather than edicts. As experts note, you **cannot force trust by fiat** [4]. Instead of an "or else" rule, encourage leaders at every level to model the desired behavior (listening, admitting faults). Provide training and discussion forums on the topic. If a formal policy exists (e.g. in an HR handbook), back it up with visible leadership commitment and **story-sharing** about speaking up. Essentially, **show, don't just tell** – for instance, have executives share instances where feedback from junior staff changed their mind. This grounds the concept in reality and avoids psychological safety becoming an empty slogan.

- **Risk: Leadership resistance or fear of lost authority.** Some leaders or managers may secretly resist fostering psychological safety. An open, empowered team can feel threatening to those used to a strict hierarchy or those insecure about their competence [37] [38]. They might fear that encouraging employees to challenge ideas will undermine their authority or expose their mistakes.
  Mitigation: **Engage and educate reluctant leaders** by tying psychological safety to mission outcomes. Show evidence (from this guide or internal data) that teams with high psychological safety perform better and retain talent. It may help to frame it not as losing authority, but as gaining *valuable information* and improving the team's success (a win for the leader too). Provide coaching to develop skills in inclusive leadership for those who struggle. Sometimes, starting small can help – ask a resistant leader to run a trial where they implement one new behavior (say, soliciting input in meetings) and then reflect on the results. Also, enlist top leadership support: when senior directors publicly endorse and model psychological safety, it creates positive peer pressure. Over time, as even skeptical managers see improvements (less firefighting, more innovation), their fear of psychological safety should diminish.

- **Risk: Cultural and individual differences in comfort with speaking up.** In multicultural NGO teams, norms vary. Staff from high power-distance cultures or those who have faced discrimination may be more hesitant to voice opinions, even if the environment is intended to be safe. Similarly, personality differences (introverts vs. extroverts) mean not everyone will readily jump into open

debates.
Mitigation: **Create multiple avenues** to contribute. Don't rely solely on loud voices in group meetings. Use round-robin formats, written brainstorming (so people can compose thoughts), or one-on-one check-ins to ensure everyone can speak in the mode they're comfortable. Leaders should be culturally sensitive – explicitly encourage input from local staff or junior members who might defer out of respect. A practical tactic is to pose questions in advance of meetings, so those who need time to process (or translate, in their mind) can prepare [39]. If a particular subgroup is still silent, have private conversations to affirm their views are valued. Over time, as trust builds, these team members will likely become more vocal in public settings. Patience and adaptive facilitation are key; *psychological safety should not force everyone into one communication style*, but rather honor different voices in different ways.

- **Risk: Superficial adoption (safety in name only).** An organization might declare victory too soon – saying "our workplace is psychologically safe!" without genuinely changing behaviors. This can happen if initial efforts don't continue; people might revert to old habits (e.g. leaders becoming defensive under stress). **False signals** of safety (like lack of conflict) could actually indicate suppressed issues.
  Mitigation: **Continuously reinforce and evaluate.** Use the metrics and tools mentioned (regular surveys, health checks) to get reality checks on the culture. If, for example, a psychological safety survey shows low scores while no one is speaking up about problems, that's a red flag of complacency or fear. Make psychological safety an ongoing agenda item – discuss team dynamics in performance reviews, team meetings, and onboarding of new staff. Celebrate behaviors you want to see (such as someone voicing a concern) to show it's truly valued. And be willing to course-correct: if an incident occurs where someone was punished or ignored for speaking up, address it openly as a learning moment and reaffirm the commitment. In short, guard against the attitude that "we've done the training, we're good now." Cultural change requires **persistent attention**. By treating team safety and health as you would any critical program – with monitoring, feedback loops, and improvements – NGOs can avoid backsliding into old, unsafe patterns.

Checklist

NGO leaders can use the following checklist to ensure they are actively promoting psychological safety and team health. Regularly review these items as a "safety health check" for your team:

- **Lead by example with openness:** Do I as a leader regularly **solicit input** from all team members and listen without interrupting or defensiveness? (E.g. in the last meeting, did everyone speak at least once?) [34] [7]
- **Establish respectful norms:** Has the team agreed on clear **ground rules** for communication (e.g. one person speaks at a time, no derogatory comments, constructive disagreement is welcome)? Are these norms visible and reinforced in our daily work?
- **Model fallibility and curiosity:** Have I **admitted a mistake** or said "I don't know" in front of the team recently? Do I ask questions and show curiosity, rather than always giving instructions? Leaders setting this tone make it safer for others to do the same.
- **Ensure no-blame responses:** When someone brings up bad news or an error, do we respond by focusing on solutions and **next steps** instead of punishment or who's at fault? (Check: reflect on the last issue reported – how did you react?) [40]

- **Encourage speaking up:** Are there accessible channels for team members to voice concerns or ideas (team meetings, anonymous feedback forms, one-on-one sessions)? And importantly, when feedback was given last time, did we **act on it** or at least address it publicly so people know it was heard?
- **Recognize and reward candor:** Do we **recognize team members** who speak up with important insights or dissenting views? (E.g. thanking them in meetings, noting it in performance appraisals). This reinforces that courage is valued, not penalized.
- **Monitor team mood and workload:** Am I attentive to signs of **burnout or disengagement** (e.g. quietness, errors, absenteeism) that might indicate psychological safety issues or poor team health? If yes, have I opened a dialogue about it with the team or individual?
- **Diversity and inclusion check:** In discussions and decision-making, are we hearing from a **diverse mix** of team members? (If not, intentionally invite someone who hasn't spoken or seek input from underrepresented voices.) Ensure that decisions aren't dominated by one clique or hierarchy level.
- **Continuous learning:** Do we have a habit of **debriefing projects and failures** together? If something goes wrong, do we treat it as a learning opportunity (with an after-action review or team reflection session scheduled)? Regular post-mortems are a sign of a learning-oriented, safe team.
- **Personal improvement:** Have I sought **feedback on my leadership** from the team regarding how safe I make them feel? For instance, asking "What can I do to make it easier to raise concerns?" This not only provides valuable input but also signals your commitment to improvement.

A leader or team can periodically go through this checklist (yes/no or 0-5 scales) to diagnose where they might need to focus. If many items are "no" or low, that's an area for action – for example, if recognition of candor is lacking, make a plan to start highlighting it in team meetings. The checklist can also be adapted into a short survey for team members (asking them if *they* feel these conditions are met). Overall, the checklist serves as a practical reminder of the behaviors and conditions that sustain psychological safety and team health. By routinely checking these off, NGO leaders can keep themselves accountable and ensure that building a safe team culture remains an ongoing priority.

Glossary

- **Psychological Safety:** A shared belief within a team that it is safe to take interpersonal risks – meaning no one will be punished or humiliated for speaking up with ideas, questions, concerns, or mistakes [1]. In a psychologically safe environment, team members trust that others have their back and value honesty. It's not about being comfortable all the time, but about feeling secure enough to raise uncomfortable issues without fear of retribution.

- **Team Health:** The overall well-being and effective functioning of a team as a unit. Team health encompasses the quality of relationships (trust, respect), communication, cohesion, and the absence of chronic stress or conflict. A "healthy" team is productive and resilient – members communicate well, feel motivated, and support each other. Strong team health correlates with positive outcomes like better performance and lower turnover [41].

- **Interpersonal Risk-Taking:** Any action in a workplace that involves vulnerability between people – for example, admitting an error, asking for help, offering a novel idea, or disagreeing with a colleague. These actions carry social risk (one might fear looking incompetent or upsetting others). Psychological safety lowers the perceived risk by creating an atmosphere of trust. When

interpersonal risk-taking is safe, teams benefit from more ideas and early identification of problems [10].

- **No-Blame Culture:** A work culture where individuals are not blamed or shamed for mistakes. Instead, mistakes are treated as opportunities to learn and improve. In a no-blame culture, the response to an error is to ask "**What** went wrong?" rather than "**Who** is at fault?" [27] [40]. This encourages people to report issues promptly and honestly. Note: No-blame doesn't mean no accountability – it means addressing problems without personal attacks or punitive fear.

- **Empathetic Leadership:** A leadership style characterized by understanding and sharing the feelings of others, and creating an environment of openness and support. Empathetic leaders listen actively, show genuine care for employees, and are approachable. This style is crucial for embedding psychological safety – as BCG research noted, empathetic leaders set up systems and behaviors that encourage team members to speak up and be themselves [42]. In essence, empathetic leadership builds trust and psychological safety by demonstrating respect and humanity.

- **Collective Accountability:** A concept where the team as a whole, rather than just individuals in isolation, holds responsibility for performance and upholding standards. Collective accountability means team members hold each other accountable in a supportive way and share obligations for outcomes [36]. This approach can mitigate the risk of too much comfort – even in a psychologically safe team, members know the group expects everyone to contribute and improve. It aligns the team on goals and norms (e.g. calling out behavior that undermines the team, but from a place of mutual commitment).

- **Burnout:** A state of physical, emotional, and mental exhaustion caused by prolonged stress and overwork, often coupled with feelings of cynicism and inefficacy. In the NGO context, burnout is common when team health is poor – signs include disengagement, high absenteeism, or turnover. Psychological safety can help reduce burnout by improving work environment factors: when people feel heard, supported, and able to voice concerns, it buffers stress and improves overall well-being [43]. Tracking burnout (via surveys or HR data) is important for team health.

- **Inclusion (Inclusion Safety):** The feeling of belonging to the team and being accepted for who you are. "Inclusion safety" is the first stage of psychological safety, where individuals (especially those from marginalized groups) feel safe to be themselves without fear of exclusion. An inclusive team environment celebrates diversity and ensures all members – regardless of role, background, or identity – have an equal opportunity to participate and contribute. High inclusion is evidenced when diverse team members report feeling valued and when they participate actively (a sign that the team is both inclusive and psychologically safe [9]).

References

1. Edmondson, A. C., & Kerrissey, M. J. (2025). *What People Get Wrong About Psychological Safety: Six misconceptions that have led organizations astray.* Harvard Business Review, 103(3), 146–155.
2. Lau, J. (2025, June 26). **Debunking misconceptions about workplace psychological safety.** Harvard T.H. Chan School of Public Health News. [44] [4]
3. Knowledge at Wharton. (2023, November 21). **The downside of psychological safety in the workplace.** (Interview with P. Cappelli). University of Pennsylvania. [5] [6]

4. Yousif, N., Dartnell, A., May, G., & Knarr, E. (2024, January 4). **Psychological Safety Levels the Playing Field for Employees.** Boston Consulting Group. 2 9
5. Wong, B. (2021, September 15). **How Psychological Safety Can Benefit Nonprofits.** Independent Sector Blog. 1 45
6. De Smet, A., Rubenstein, K., & Vierow, M. (2021, May 24). **Promoting psychological safety starts with developing leaders.** McKinsey & Company. 17 7
7. Rio, M. (2023, September 8). **Building Psychological Safety in Your Nonprofit: 6 Steps to a Healthier Culture.** Further Together Fundraising Guides. 20 27
8. Sosa, P. (2024, September 4). **Psychological Safety in the Workplace: A Q&A with the American Psychological Association.** Partnership on AI Blog. 10
9. Edmondson, A. C. (2023, October 4). **The Intelligent Failure that Led to the Discovery of Psychological Safety.** Behavioral Scientist. 13 14
10. Clark, T. (2025, January 27). **Why Are Some Leaders Afraid of Psychological Safety?** LeaderFactor Blog. 37 38
11. Bluefruit Software. (2023, January 9). **Your quick psychological safety checklist.** (Blog post by Emily). 46 47
12. Hallam, K. T., Popovic, N., & Karimi, L. (2023). **Identifying the Key Elements of Psychologically Safe Workplaces in Healthcare Settings.** *Brain Sciences, 13*(10), 1450. 11
13. CCA @ Your Service. (n.d.). **Psychological Safety in the Workplace – Building a culture of trust and belonging.** (Flyer/Scorecard). St. John's University. 29 30
14. Center for Executive Excellence. (2025). **Toolkit: Promoting Psychological Safety = High Performance.** (Checklist for fostering psychological safety). 34
15. Waring, R. (2023, August 10). **Maximizing Business Value: The Role of Team Health.** EOS Worldwide (Guest Blog). 41 48

(Final word count: 4,390)

---

1 45 How Psychological Safety Can Benefit Nonprofits - Independent Sector
https://independentsector.org/blog/how-psychological-safety-can-benefit-nonprofits/

2 3 9 42 Psychological Safety Levels the Playing Field for Employees
https://www.bcg.com/publications/2024/psychological-safety-levels-playing-field-for-employees

4 19 44 Debunking misconceptions about workplace psychological safety | Harvard T.H. Chan School of Public Health
https://hsph.harvard.edu/news/debunking-misconceptions-about-workplace-psychological-safety/

5 6 16 35 36 The Downside of Psychological Safety in the Workplace - Knowledge at Wharton
https://knowledge.wharton.upenn.edu/article/the-downside-of-psychological-safety-in-the-workplace/

7 8 15 17 18 Promoting psychological safety starts with developing leaders
https://www.mckinsey.com/capabilities/people-and-organizational-performance/our-insights/the-organization-blog/promoting-psychological-safety-starts-with-developing-leaders

10 Psychological Safety in the Workplace: A Q&A with the American Psychological Association - Partnership on AI
https://partnershiponai.org/psychological-safety-in-the-ai-workplace-with-the-apa/

11 12 Identifying the Key Elements of Psychologically Safe Workplaces in Healthcare Settings - PMC
https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC10605501/

13 14 The Intelligent Failure that Led to the Discovery of Psychological Safety - By Amy C. Edmondson - Behavioral Scientist
https://behavioralscientist.org/the-intelligent-failure-that-led-to-the-discovery-of-psychological-safety/

20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 39 40 Building Psychological Safety in Your Nonprofit: 6 Steps to a Healthier Culture | Further Together Fundraising
https://www.gofurthertogether.ca/guides/building-psychological-safety

29 30 stjohns.edu
https://www.stjohns.edu/files/ccayspsychological-safety-workplace-flyer

31 32 33 The Psychological Safety Health Check - TeamRetro
https://www.teamretro.com/health-checks/the-psychological-safety-health-check

34 EMCONT
https://www.learningrebels.com/wp-content/uploads/2025/06/checklist_Resources_to_Promote_Psychological_Safety.pdf

37 38 Why Are Some Leaders Afraid of Psychological Safety?
https://www.leaderfactor.com/post/why-are-some-leaders-afraid-of-psychological-safety

41 48 MAXIMIZING BUSINESS VALUE: THE ROLE OF TEAM HEALTH
https://www.eosworldwide.com/guest-blog/maximizing-business-value-role-team-health

43 Psychological safety is associated with better work environment and ...
https://academic.oup.com/healthaffairsscholar/article/2/7/qxae091/7715573

46 47 Your quick psychological safety checklist - Bluefruit Software
https://bluefruit.co.uk/quality/your-quick-psychological-safety-checklist/